

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Offico
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/646,988	11/16/2000	Tomo Ueno	SUGI:093	3887
7:	590 05/23/2002			
Parkhurst & Wendel			EXAMINER	
Suite 210 1421 Prince Str			CHEN, BRET P	
Alexandria, VA 22314-2805			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	9
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/646,988

Applicant(s)

Tomo Ueno

Examiner

Bret Chen

Art Unit 1762

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period	for Reply				
THE in the second of the secon	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.			
- Any re	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status		·			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 5, 20				
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) 🗆	All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No			
	application from the International Bure				
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
a) □	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
15) 🗌	The translation of the foreign language provisiona Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm		priority under 35 0.5.6. 33 120 dilu/01 121.			
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 Infe	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/646988 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-11 are pending in this application. Amended claims 1, 6, 8-10 are noted.

The amendment dated 3/5/02 has been entered and carefully considered. The examiner appreciates the amendments to the abstract and claims. In view of said amendment, the previous 112 rejection and art rejection have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claims 1 and 10, the term "metastable excited state" is deemed new matter as there appears to be no such limitation recited in the original specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsui et al. (5,403,630) or Ueno et al. (4,532,199). Matsui discloses a vapor phase growth method of introducing a silicon containing gas and ozone into a reaction vessel along with excited oxygen

Application/Control Number: 09/646988 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

(col.2 lines 4-20). The silicon containing gas can be TEOS or an organic oxysilane and the excited oxygen can be formed by plasma discharge (col.3 lines 42-57). The plasma can be formed by using xenon gas and in a metastable state (col.4 lines 27-65). Ueno discloses a method of forming amorphous silicon film by using ECR (col.1 lines 51-61). The rare gas can be Kr and Xe (col.2 lines 12-14) and can be in the metastable state (col.2 lines 38-49). However, the reference fails to teach generating a plasma of the mixture.

It is noted that the reference does teach of mixing a rare gas with oxygen and exciting the resulting gas mixture (col.4 lines 58-60 and col.3 lines 8-19, respectively). One skilled in the art would realize that the mixture could be excited to form the plasma as taught above. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to generate a plasma of the mixture with the expectation of obtaining similar results.

The limitations of 2-11 have been addressed above.

Mori (5,037,666) and Yamazaki et al. (6,183,816) have been provided for additional information.

Application/Control Number: 09/646988 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck, can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310. Amendment After Finals should be faxed to (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

bc

May 20, 2002

BRET CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER